

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,250	12/27/2000		Jea-Yong Yoo	2950-0181P-SP 4718	
2292	7590	10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		KOLASCH & BIR	KE, P	KE, PENG	
FALLS CHU	JRCH, V	A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2174	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/748,250	YOO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Peng Ke	2174

	religite	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	**	1 136(a) and the consension	to outonaism foo
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropring riginally set in the final Office.	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must t	e filed within two montl	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a bri	ef, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,8,9 and 11</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attack	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	,	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
13. Other:	Dus	tine Vincai	d
		STINE KINCAID	_
		iry patent examine	4
	すごかいべつ	LUCK CENTED SIDD	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Humpleman et al. fails to teach displaying the second menu image on said display device in place of the first menu image previously displayed on said display device, the second menu image being displayed without performing an additional image construction process.

Examiner disagrees.

Humpleman teaches this limitation because the session manager would replace a first menu with a second menu based on prior selection and capability of devices. (column 9, lines 20-38) The session manager accomplishes this by replacing a menu that has functional buttons with a menu that has graying out non-functional buttons. (column 9, lines 20-38) Furthermore replacing one menu for another is not performing an additional image contruction process, and it is consistent with the claim limitation of "displaying the second menu image on said display device in place of the first menu image."